

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,907		01/17/2002	Richard M. Olsen	10306-004-999	5312
20582	7590	07/01/2009		EXAMINER	
JONES I	OAY Hst Street				<u> </u>
	k, NY 10			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/046,907	OLSEN ET AL.				
(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan Chen	2161				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	address			
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>05 March 2007</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.						
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. <b>EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.</b>						
The brief does not contain the items required u heading or in the proper order.	nder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the iter	ns are not under	the proper			
	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
claims involved in the appeal, referring to the spoke by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fail appeal and for each dependent claim argued so 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set for as corresponding to each claimed function with	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	of each ground of rejection pres	ented for review	(37 CFR			
6. The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	separate heading for each groun	d of rejection on	appeal (37 CFR			
7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	appealed claims as an appendix	x thereto (37 CF	R			
8. The brief does not contain copies of the evidence other evidence entered by the examiner and restatement setting forth where in the record that thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).	lied upon by appellant in the a	ippeal, along wit	ha			
<ol> <li>The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferen 41.37(c)(1)(x)).</li> </ol>						
10. Other (including any explanation in support of the	he above items):					
4)IN SECTION V Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter: The Independent claim (44) refers to the paragraph numbers of the published application (2003/0187761) and not to the page and line numbers of the specification of record as required. Correction is required.  8. Evidence appendix: A proper response to the new evidence (Foundations of Computer Science) is needed. A statement as to when the article was entered into the application by the examiner is needed. Reference to un-entered evidence is not permitted.						
	/Everett R. Williams /					

Everett R. Williams Patent Appeals Center 571-272-3619